## Exhibit 1

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	MARSHALL DIVISION
3	NETLIST, INC., ( CAUSE NO. 2:21-CV-463-JRG )
4	Plaintiff, (
5	vs. (
6	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., ( et al., ) MARSHALL, TEXAS
7	( MAY 30, 2023
8	Defendants. ) 8:30 A.M.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	BENCH TRIAL ON THE MERITS
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR
22	100 E. HOUSTON STREET  MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670
23	(903) 923-8546 shawn mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov
24	Shawn_meroberebyenea. abcoureb. 90v
25	

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRELL & MANELLA, LLP - LOS ANGELES
3	1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 900
4	LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-4276 (310) 203-7096
5	BY: MR. JASON SHEASBY MR. STEPHEN PAYNE
6	McKOOL SMITH, P.C MARSHALL
7	104 E. HOUSTON ST., SUITE 300 MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670
8	(903) 923-9000 BY: MR. SAM BAXTER
9	MS. JENNIFER TRUELOVE
10	FOR THE DEFENDANTS: FISH & RICHARDSON, PC - WASHINGTON DC
11	1000 MAINE AVE. SW, SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024
12	(202) 783-5070 BY: MR. RUFFIN CORDELL
13	MR. MICHAEL MCKEON MS. LAUREN DEGNAN
14	MR. BRIAN LIVEDALEN
15	GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 303 SOUTH WASHINGTON AVENUE
16	MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670 (903) 934-8450
17	BY: MS. MELISSA SMITH
18	OFFICIAL REPORTER: SHAWN M. McROBERTS, RMR, CRR 100 E. HOUSTON STREET
19	MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670 (903) 923-8546
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## INDEX

## EXAMINATION

Witness Name	Page
SCOTT MILTON	
Direct By MR. CORDELL	
Cross By MR. SHEASBY	
Direct By MR. CORDELL	
Cross By MR. SHEASBY	
Redirect By MR. CORDELL	70
MARIO MARTINEZ	
BY DEPOSITION	24
HYUN LEE	
BY DEPOSITION	32
HYUN-JOONG KIM	
BY DEPOSITION	34
BRUCE LO	
BY DEPOSITION	38
GARRETT DAVEY	
BY DEPOSITION	40
JOE MCALEXANDER	
Direct By MR. McKEON	
Cross By MR. SHEASBY	
Redirect By MR. McKEON	110
PAUL MEYER	
Direct By MR. McKEON	
Cross By MR. SHEASBY	
Direct By HYUN-JOONG KIM	
Direct By BY DEPOSITION	124
SEUNG MO JUNG	
BY DEPOSITION	128
KYUNGSOO PARK	
BY DEPOSITION	130
PETER GILLINGHAM	
Direct By MR. PAYNE	
Cross By MR. McKEON	
Redirect By MR. PAYNE	150
INDONG KIM	
RY DEPOSITION	154

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: Be seated, please. All right. This is the time set for a bench trial before the Court on the equitable defenses raised in the Netlist versus Samsung Electronics matter. This is Civil Case No. 2:21-CV-463. As the parties will recall, the Court conducted a jury trial in April of this year. At the conclusion of that trial the jury returned a verdict, and post the return of the jury's verdict, the Court is now prepared to take up in this bench trial those equitable issues that were raised as a part of this case. The Court's designated four hours of trial time with two hours allocated to each side. Let me ask for announcements from both sides and then we'll proceed with the case in chief from Defendant Samsung. What's the announcement from Plaintiff Netlist? MS. TRUELOVE: Good morning, Your Honor. Jennifer Truelove here for Plaintiff Netlist. With me today I have Mr. Jason Sheasby, Steven Payne -- they will be presenting today on behalf of Plaintiff -- and also at counsel table is our client representative Mr. Scott Milton. And we're ready to proceed, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. What's the announcement for Samsung, the Samsung entities?

MS. DEGNAN: Good morning, Your Honor.

- Q. Okay. Does that include DDR4 and 5 and HBM?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. So tell the Judge a little bit about your work at Intel
- 4 and then with JEDEC.
- 5 A. Okay. As I said, I participated from 2001 to 2018 when I
- 6 retired. My job was to propose features for DRAM standards,
- 7 review other features that people had proposed, and voted on
- 8 | those proposals as a committee member and as a task group
- 9 member.
- 10 Q. About how often does JEDEC meet?
- 11 A. So the committees meet once a quarter and then the task
- 12 groups where the real technical work gets detailed, technical
- work gets done, meets once a week. And you can have multiple
- 14 | task groups going on for a given standard, so over 17 years
- 15 | maybe 500-plus meetings for JEDEC.
- 16 | Q. And were you actually involved in the various standards
- 17 | that JEDEC promulgated during your years?
- 18 A. Yes. From -- as I said, from DDR1, DDR2, DDR3, DDR4,
- 19 DDR5, HBM, and then post-retirement I did work on DDR6.
- 20 | Q. Did you receive any recognition for your work at JEDEC?
- 21 A. In 2016 I received the chairman award for my work on DRAM
- 22 memory.
- MR. CORDELL: Your Honor, we proffer Mr. Milton as
- 24 | an expert in the JEDEC standard-setting organization and
- 25 rules.

1	THE COURT: Is there objection?
2	MR. SHEASBY: No objection, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Without objection, the Court will
4	recognize Mr. Halbert as an expert in the designated fields.
5	Please continue.
6	MR. CORDELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	Q. (BY MR. CORDELL) So let's start with standards-based
8	equitable estoppel.
9	On slide 8 you have a couple of manuals. Can you tell
10	His Honor what these are?
11	A. This is JM21. It's kind of the call it the operating
12	Bible for JEDEC. It lists all the policies, procedures, how
13	validating voting works, patent policy, et cetera.
14	Q. And you have JM21S as DTX 02. And then you have JM21T as
15	DTX 08. Is there a material difference between these two?
16	A. JEDEC periodically issues revisions. In this case they
17	are just editorial revisions.
18	Q. And what does the manual of organization and procedure at
19	JEDEC provide?
20	A. It provides, as I said, kind of a how to work in JEDEC;
21	as I said, how to do ballots, how balloting is done. Also in
22	one section it lists out the patent policy and patent
23	procedure for JEDEC.
24	Q. So at slide 9 you cite DTX 8 at page 32, and this is
25	general provisions. Can you explain the significance of this

- 1 A. The leading contributors for the DRAM companies, SK
- 2 hynix, Micron, Samsung, and then Intel was also a leading
- 3 contributor.
- 4 Q. And as part of your work in this case, have you done an
- analysis of the contributions that were made to the standard?
- 6 A. Yes, I have.
- 7 Q. Can you tell His Honor what a contribution is?
- 8 A. A contribution in this case is a technical presentation
- 9 either done at the committee meetings that happen quarterly or
- 10 in any of the task groups.
- 11 | Q. And are those sometimes called proposals?
- 12 A. Yes, proposals; they can be technical comments; whatever.
- 13 Q. And what did you do to analyze the contributions in this
- 14 case?
- 15 A. So when a person makes a presentation at a JEDEC
- 16 | committee or a JEDEC task group, they have to upload their
- 17 | presentation first to the JEDEC server so it's available to
- 18 everyone. And so all of these presentations are available,
- 19 | and you can filter the list of presentations that includes
- 20 | ballots and other items for just presentations. And that's
- 21 | what I did. And I searched for, in this case, DDR4 DIMM
- 22 | presentations and DDR5 DIMM presentations done by Samsung
- 23 and Netlist.
- 24 Q. And what was the result of your analysis?
- 25 A. So over the time that those two DIMM standards were

- created, there are roughly a thousand presentations made. 1
- Of that, Samsung made 220 and Netlist made none. 2
- And did you look at some of the other standards? 3 Ο.
- I also looked at the DDR4 data buffer standard and Α. Yes. 4
- 5 the DDR4 RCD. About 540 presentations were made in that
- committee and task group. Of that, Samsung made 14 and 6
- Netlist made none. 7
- And then what about DDR5 PMIC? 8
- DDR5 PMIC, about 410 presentations. Of that, Samsung 9
- made 89 and Netlist made none. 10
- And what was the total score? 11 Ο.
- A total score, around 2,000 presentations for all of 12
- those different standards. Of that, Samsung made about 243 13
- presentations and Netlist made none. 14
- Sort of like a Baylor/UT football score? 15
- 16 Α. Yeah. I think more Oregon Ducks and somebody else.
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- MR. CORDELL: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 18
- THE COURT: Cross examination? 19
- MR. SHEASBY: Yes, Your Honor. 2.0
- 2.1 CROSS EXAMINATION
- BY MR. SHEASBY: 2.2
- Good morning, Mr. Halbert. It's nice to see you again. 23 Q.
- Good to see you. 24 Α.
- Mr. Halbert there's a set of exhibits next to you in a 25 Q.

```
MR. McKEON: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
 1
 2
     thought we --
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
 3
               THE COURT: You can use the counsel table.
                                                            You
 4
 5
     don't need to get down on the floor, Mr. Sheasby.
               MR. SHEASBY: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
          DTX 14 is fine, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. So both of you have heard
 8
     the offering from the other. I gather there are no objections
 9
     to either's rendition into the record.
10
               MR. McKEON: No objection, Your Honor.
11
               MR. SHEASBY: No objections, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counsel. You're
13
     excused.
14
          As I stated earlier, the matters raised in the bench
15
16
     trial are under submission.
17
               MR. SHEASBY: Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. McKEON: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Thank you.
19
                (The Proceedings were concluded at 2:30 p.m.)
2.0
2.1
2.2
23
24
25
```